

## BY THIS MORNING'S MAIL.

From our Special Correspondent.

WASHINGTON, June 9, 1842.

The Democracy of the Senate were in agony to-day. As I expected, Dr. Linn's amendment was lost by a vote of 25 to 22; and the whole party were employed for the space of two hours in attempting to carry a substitute for it. Mr. Linn, Mr. Walker, Mr. Woodbury, Mr. Allen, Mr. Woodbury & one, &c., proposed in succession, similar amendments in every form that *Loco-Foco* ingenuity could devise and defeat in every effort. And then Mr. Benton proposed a slight modification of the second section of the bill as it came from the House, requiring the States so to arrange the Districts as to contain a population as nearly equal "as may be." This was carried and the amendments were ordered to be printed and bill read a third time by a vote precisely as I predicted—27 to 21—and so the Senate adjourned.

It was amusing to witness the struggles and unending mimics of those *exclusive* Democrats to defeat the most republican proposition that has ever been submitted to Congress. In the plenitude of their partisanship the Senators from Missouri and the Senator from New-Hampshire (Mr. Woodbury) deplored the unhappy collision between the State and the General Government that would inevitably grow out of the passage of this bill; and appealed to the prudence of the majority to avert so dire a calamity! They were insensible to the admonition, and replied that they hoped better things of the States, did trust that upon reflection the Senators themselves would recuse from their apprehensions. The bill will be read a third time to-morrow and returned to the House: But I am not sure that Mr. Benton's modification will be with it. It may be reconsidered.

The House is absorbed in the Tariff and Mr. Fillmore acquitted himself with high credit in a speech upon the subject to-day. I regret to say that, from what I learn, the friends of the measure will be compelled to content every inch of ground, and the debate will necessarily be protracted to a late period in July. The bill will pass, however, and unless the President be assisted by some malignant influence it will become a law in all the ensuing month. If the measure fail, a temporary provision will be enacted for the support of Government until the next session of Congress, when the servants of the people will be more emphatically instructed upon the subject.

The House then adjourned. ARGUS.

## CITY INTELLIGENCE.

FRIDAY, June 10.

**Lawyer's Diary for Saturday, June 11.—Superior Court.**—No. 50, 52, 53, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100.

**Court of Sessions.**—Before the Recorder of Justice Lynch and Mr. Allen and Mr. Colton and Smith and Mr. T. C. Tamm, Esq., on the 9th inst., a man named grand Jury, stealing a gold watch and chain, value \$100, rings a diamond, sovereign, silver dollar, gold thimble, two pence tickets, &c., worth \$100.00, in Mr. Simon Steiner, then of 21 Mulberry-street, on the 1st May.

Prisoner was engaged in whitewashing there and came to the door of the house to sell his whitewash articles.

She also told the complainant (Joseph) that she took the things, and that her husband took them from her and her son. The jury found her guilty, and she was sentenced to the State Prison for 3 years.

The Grand Jury concurred with a number of bills of indictment and referred to resume their business.

SENTENCES.—The Court pronounced the following sentences:

Austin Rankin, convicted some months since of the abduction of a boy, was sentenced to the State Prison for 3 years.

George Johnson, convicted of forgery in the 3d degree, in interfering with the forged name of John Johnson, son thereof, was sentenced to the State Prison for 2 years.

John Morris, convicted of forgery in the 3d degree, in passing a \$1 counterfeit bill, and having others in his possession, was sentenced to the State Prison for 3 years.

Jacob Peterson, colored man, convicted of robbing numbers drawn in the State Lottery, was sentenced to the Penitentiary for 3 months.

**Trial for Violating the Pilot Laws.**—Benjamin J. Sosy was put upon trial for a violation of the Pilot laws of the port of New-York, in piloting the schooner Three Brothers to sea via Sandy Hook on the 3d of October, 1841, without having a license, paid a fine of \$100, and was released. The accused was Captain of the vessel and his son, Joseph, Blind Esq., counsel for the accused, had two witnesses to prove that the pilot law of the State of New-York did not apply to the Three Brothers.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The accused was Captain of the vessel and his son, Joseph, Blind Esq., counsel for the accused, had two witnesses to prove that the pilot law of the State of New-York did not apply to the Three Brothers.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New-Jersey pilot law, also I galized by Congress and now in force—stating that the present pilot law of this State was not intended to supersede the pilot law of New-York, but to regulate the pilotage of vessels in the port of New-York.

The trial was adjourned to the 1st of November, when the pilot law of the States, that no State pilot law was valid until legalized by act of Congress, also he instances the New